

FCC Appeal of SLD Denial of Appeal**CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21**

12/15/03

Contact Information

Robert A. Morrow
Compliance Manager
E-rate Consulting
103 Weatherstone Drive
Suite 720
Woodstock, GA 30188
888-249-1661
FAX: 770-592-4693

bmorrow@erateconsulting.com

Note: Letter of Agency to act on behalf of ALAC is attached

Name of Entity:

Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (158862)

SLD Action Being Appealed:

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2000-2001
Dated October 17, 2003 (attached)

471 Application Involved: #256403

Case for Appeal

During the course of a selective Year 5 Form 471 review, the SLD discovered that the contact name and address listed on the applicant's Form 470 was the same as the contact listed in the SLD's database for one of the listed vendors, LW Associates. On the basis of what appeared to be a clear violation of the SLD's ban on vendor involvement in the competitive bidding process, the funding requests were denied.

Subsequently, the applicant received the attached Commitment Adjustment letter for the 2001-2002 funding year, citing the same reasons for denial.

I filed an appeal with the SLD, asking that the Commitment Adjustment letter be withdrawn until the original Year 5 decision went through the appeals process, since it was the basis of the Commitment Adjustment. I further clarified my intent in an attached letter to John Vita of the Appeals Group in response to his questions.

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 16 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

No. of Copies rec'd
LW ABCDE



The attached denial was received by ALAC in October. Although I am listed as the contact on the appeal, I never received a copy. The denial refers to dated correspondence that matches nothing I or ALAC have sent. It also refers to a funding year and Form 471 for which no Commitment Adjustment letter has ever been issued.

Worse, it doesn't address the reason I appealed. I didn't ask for judgment on the SLD's original decision; that is going through the normal appeals process and is currently before the FCC. All I asked is that the Commitment Adjustment letter be withdrawn until the original funding issue was determined.

That is also what I am asking the FCC: direct the SLD to withdraw the Commitment Adjustment letter while the original funding denial issue is being appealed.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert A. Munn". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Robert" and last name "Munn" clearly distinguishable.

Attachments: January 31, 2003 Commitment Adjustment letter
March 31, 2003 appeal
May 30, 2003 letter to John Vita
October 17, 2003 denial
Letter of Agency

FCC Appeal of SLD Denial of Appeal

CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21

12/15/03

Contact Information

Robert A. Morrow
Compliance Manager
E-rate Consulting
103 Weatherstone Drive
Suite 720
Woodstock, GA 30188
888-249-1661

FAX: 770-592-4693

bmorrow@erateconsulting.com

Note: Letter of Agency to act on behalf of ALAC is attached

Name of Entity:

Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (158862)

SLD Action Being Appealed:

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 1999-2000
Dated October 17, 2003 (attached)

471 Application Involved: #140957

Case for Appeal

During the course of a selective Year 5 Form 471 review, the SLD discovered that the contact name and address listed on the applicant's Form 470 was the same as the contact listed in the SLD's database for one of the listed vendors, LW Associates. On the basis of what appeared to be a clear violation of the SLD's ban on vendor involvement in the competitive bidding process, the funding requests were denied.

Subsequently, the applicant received the attached Commitment Adjustment letter for the 1999-2000 funding year, citing the same reasons for denial.

I filed an appeal with the SLD, asking that the Commitment Adjustment letter be withdrawn until the original Year 5 decision went through the appeals process, since it was the basis of the Commitment Adjustment. I further clarified my intent in an attached letter to John Vita of the Appeals Group in response to his questions.


The attached denial was received by ALAC in October. Although I am listed as the contact on the appeal, I never received a copy. The denial also refers to dated correspondence that matches nothing I or ALAC have sent.

Worse, it doesn't address the reason I appealed. I didn't ask for judgment on the SLD's original decision; that is going through the normal appeals process and is currently before the FCC. All I asked is that the Commitment Adjustment letter be withdrawn until the original funding issue is determined.

That is also what I am asking the FCC. direct the SLD to withdraw the Commitment Adjustment letter while the original funding denial issue is being appealed.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Calloway". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Robert" and last name "Calloway" clearly distinguishable.

Attachments: January 31, 2003 Commitment Adjustment letter
March 31, 2003 appeal
May 30, 2003 letter to John Vita
October 17, 2003 denial
Letter of Agency